Name:	Period: Date:		
<u>Video</u> Jour	NAL EX	<u>KPECTATION SHEET</u>	
sheet/worksheet is given instead. Below are the questions y	ou must	vork related to each video shown in class, unless another question answer during and after the video. Beneath that, is an example of sten to directions for changes in the questions below relating to a	
Heading: The heading should appear as above – Name, Per Video information (Name of video, movie, and/or episode). During the video: Answer the following questions:		Date.	
 What was the crime? Who was the victim or who were the victims? 	5.	How did this evidence prove a suspect guilty or innocent? (Results)	
3. Who are the suspects?	6.	What type of forensic science experts are shown in this video?	
4. What evidence was found?		(Their title and what do they do, if it is not obvious in their title?)	
After the video: (Be specific with your answers!)			
1. How realistic was this episode/video?		4. If this had been a real case, in real life, and you were one	

- 2. What did you learn from this video (if anything)?
- 3. What did you find interesting in this video (if anything)?

For example, if one CSI episode had two crimes, it might look something like this:

John Smith	Period 10	12/3/45	
CSI: Hawaii – "Doing the homework"			
Crime: Copied homework	Cri	me: Murder of student	
Victim: Phil Intheblanks	Vic	tim: The history student with the blue shirt.	
Suspects: I ma Cheat Evidence:		spects: Guy in the lab room, Joe Killer, and Rob notkilling.	
 → Same wrong answers. → Same questions left blank. → I ma never did homework before. → I ma put Phil's name on the paper and compared to the paper	\rightarrow \rightarrow	dence: Fingerprints. Blowfly maggots as evidence of time of death. DNA.	
out. → Motive – I ma wanted a better grade t she was getting.	nan what	sults: Guy in the lab room was found innocent, because the fingerprints did not match his.	
Result: All 4 pieces of evidence showed g Experts: Hand writing analyst.		Rob had an alibi for the time of death. DNA matches Joe's – proving he's guilty.	
 Homework: 1. Very - The same type of cheating get: the time in Mr. A's class. 	s caught all	perts: DNA analyst, forensic entomologist udies insects and their life cycle), fingerprint pert.	
2. I learned the methods that are used the if anyone is cheating.	J	mework: This video was not very realistic, because flies	
 Nothing. I would have checked to see if Phil gate 	ve anyone 2.	aren't numbered. I learned the blowflies hatch from eggs into first	

4. I would have checked to see if Phil gave anyone else the answers, and if he gave I ma the answers or she copied them without his knowing.

 The life cycle of a blowfly.
 I would have checked to the DNA of the other suspects, and I would have made her angry ex-boyfriend a suspect.

stage maggots in 24 hours.

of the CSI, what would you have done differently?

(Other suspects, bits of evidence, etc.)